Mr. Theodore Carter	*	IN THE UNITED STATES
255 Bishop Avenuee	*	
Baltimore, MD. 21225	*	DISTRICT COURT
·	*	
Plaintiff	*	FOR
	*	
V.	*	MARYLAND
	*	
	*	AT BALTIMORE
Pfizer Inc.	*	
235 East 42nd Street	*	
New York, NY 10017	*	
,	*	
Serve: The Corporation Trust Inc.	*	
300 E. Lombard St.	*	
Baltimore, MD 21202	*	
	*	CLAIM NO.:
Defendant	*	
	*	
	*	
	*	
	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

COMPLAINT

COME NOW the plaintiff, Theodore Carter, by and through counsel, Paul A. Weykamp and the law offices of Paul A. Weykamp, and brings suit against the defendant, Pfizer Inc., and for good cause states:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 1. The plaintiff, Theodore Carter, at all times relevant hereto, has resided in Baltimore, MD., and took the drug CELEBREX® at points in time between 1999 and 2000. As used herein, "plaintiff" shall refer to Theodore Carter unless specifically noted otherwise.
- 2. Defendant, Pfizer, Inc., a Delaware corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Maryland, with its principal place of business in New York, has committed a tort within the State of

Maryland and may be served with process of this Court in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure through its registered agent for service of process.

- 4. This Honorable Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs and because this action is brought by an individual who is a citizen of a state other than that of the Defendant.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Plaintiff purchased the products that form the basis of this lawsuit in the State of Maryland. At all relevant times herein, Pfizer, Inc. was in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, developing, testing, labeling, promoting, distributing, warranting and selling its product, CELEBREX®. Pfizer, Inc. at all times relevant hereto designed, developed, manufactured, promoted, marketed, distributed, tested, warranted and sold in interstate commerce the aforementioned prescription drug. Pfizer, Inc. does substantial business in the State of Maryland and within this Federal District, advertises in this district, received substantial compensation and profits from sales of CELEBREX® in this district, and made material omissions and misrepresentations and breaches of warranties in this district. All conditions precedent have been performed or have occurred. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 9(c).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Pfizer, Inc. is in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, developing, testing, labeling, promoting, distributing, warranting and selling its product, CELEBREX®. Pfizer, Inc., at all times relevant hereto, designed, developed, manufactured, promoted, marketed, distributed, tested, warranted and sold CELEBREX® in the State of Maryland.

- 7. Plaintiff, ingested CELEBREX® as prescribed and as a result thereof, suffered a Heart Attack/Stroke.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was unaware of the serious side effects and dangerous properties of the drug as set forth herein.
- 9. The product in question was designed, formulated, patented, marketed, sold, tested, warranted, and ultimately distributed by the Defendant as CELEBREX®.
- 10. CELEBREX® is in a class of drugs called non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. It was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment and management of symptoms of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis in adults.
- 11. Pfizer, Inc. originally refused to withdraw CELEBREX® from the market, despite scientific studies documenting greater than triple the risk of heart attacks, strokes and death in connection with the use of CELEBREX®.
- 12. Defendant materially breached its obligations to consumers, such as the Plaintiff, including but not limited to its design, testing, manufacture, design, warning, marketing, warranting and sale of CELEBREX®.
- 13. Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted to the market, including the Plaintiff, by and through statements made by Defendant or its authorized agents or sales representatives, orally and in publications, package inserts and other written materials to the health care community, that CELEBREX® was safe, effective, fit and proper for its intended use.
- 14. Defendant was aware of the substantial risks of taking CELEBREX® but failed to fully disclose.
- 15. Defendant failed to meet the applicable standards of care, which were intended for the benefit of individual consumers such as the Plaintiff, making the Defendant liable for the Plaintiff's injuries.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE

- 16. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein and further allege:
- 17. Defendant Pfizer and its representatives were merchants or sellers of CELEBREX®.
- 18. Despite the fact that Defendant Pfizer knew, or should have known that CELEBREX® could cause unreasonable injurious results and/or death to Plaintiff, the Defendant continued to market, distribute, and sell CELEBREX® to the public.
- 19. Defendant Pfizer knew, or should have known that consumers, such as Plaintiff, would foreseeably suffer injuries and/or death as a result of Defendant Pfizer's failure to exercise ordinary care as described above. Moreover, after Defendant Pfizer became aware of the serious risks of ingesting CELEBREX®, it owed a legal duty to Plaintiff, and the general public, to disclose that knowledge. Defendant Pfizer's breach of its duty to disclose this information was a proximate cause of the injuries to the Plaintiff.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and breach of Defendant Pfizer, Plaintiff sustained a Heart Attack/Stroke. Defendant Pfizer owed a duty to Plaintiff to use reasonable care in its actions. Defendant Pfizer's failure to use reasonable care proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries, including medical expenses, lost wages, physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish. The Plaintiff became aware of the dangers of CELEBREX® in 2005 after the national recall of the drug.

 WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00.

Page 5 of 12

COUNT II: STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY

- 21. The Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. CELEBREX®, as designed, manufactured, sold and/or supplied by Defendant Pfizer, was placed into the stream of commerce by Defendant in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition taking into consideration the utility of the product and the risks involved with the drug's use.
- 23. Further, CELEBREX®, as designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and/or supplied by Defendant, was defective in marketing due to inadequate warnings, instructions, and/or labeling.
- 24. CELEBREX®, as designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, sold and/or supplied was defective due to inadequate testing.
- 25. CELEBREX® was defective in design and/or formulation in that, when it left the hands of Defendant and/or its representative, agents or assignees, the foreseeable risks of serious harm posed by this drug far exceeded its alleged benefits. The foreseeable risks of serious harm were so that Plaintiff and the general public, having known of such foreseeable risks and alleged benefits, would not have ingested CELEBREX®.
- 26. CELEBREX® was also defective due to inadequate warnings and misrepresentations to healthcare professionals. Defendant knew that had healthcare professionals been adequately warned of the serious risks of injury to their patients, healthcare professionals would not have prescribed CELEBREX® to patients.
- 27. CELEBREX® was defective due to inadequate testing both before and after Defendant became aware of the risks of ingesting the drug.
- 28. As the producing and direct cause and legal result of the design defect and/or the marketing defect due to the Defendant's failure to warn consumers, as well as the defective condition of the drug as

manufactured and supplied by Defendant and its representatives, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages as set forth in paragraph 20 above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00.

COUNT III: FRAUD

- 29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein and further allege:
- 30. Defendant Pfizer fraudulently represented to the general public, as well as healthcare professionals, that CELEBREX® was a safe and effective drug. Defendant Pfizer made this representation while knowing that, if healthcare professionals and consumers knew of the serious risks associated with the ingestion of the CELEBREX® drug, they would not prescribe and/or ingest this drug. Defendant Pfizer knew its representations to be false, and Plaintiff relied on Defendant Pfizer's false representations in ingesting CELEBREX®. These fraudulent representations by Defendant Pfizer were proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries enumerated in paragraph 20 above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00 and \$80,000,000.00 in punitive damages.

COUNT IV: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATIONS

31. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein and further allege:

- 32. Defendant Pfizer represented and marketed the CELEBREX® drug as being safe and effective. After Defendant Pfizer became aware of the risk of ingesting CELEBREX®, however, Defendant Pfizer failed to communicate to Plaintiff and/or the general public, that the ingestion of this drug could cause a person to suffer a Stroke, or that the CELEBREX® drug could cause a Heart Attack to the person ingesting the drug.
- 33. Therefore, Plaintiff brings this cause of action against Defendant Pfizer under the theory of negligent misrepresentation for the following reasons:
 - a) The Plaintiff incorporates all facts and allegations previously stated in this Complaint;
- b) Defendant Pfizer failed to warn Plaintiff, and other consumers, of the defective condition of the CELEBREX®, as manufactured and/or supplied by Defendant Pfizer;
- c) Defendant Pfizer, individually, and through its agents, representatives, distributors and/or employees, negligently misrepresented material facts about CELEBREX® in that they made such misrepresentations when they knew or reasonably should have known of the falsity of such misrepresentations. Alternatively, Defendant Pfizer made such misrepresentations, without exercising reasonable care to ascertain the accuracy of these representations;
 - d) The above misrepresentations were made to Plaintiff, as well as the general public;
- e) Plaintiff and his healthcare provider justifiably relied on Defendant Pfizer's misrepresentations; and
 - f) Consequently ingestion of CELEBREX® was to Plaintiff's detriment.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the fraudulent acts and omissions, and misrepresentation of Defendant Pfizer, Plaintiff suffered significant and ongoing injury and damages as set forth in paragraph 20 above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00.

COUNT V: EXPRESSED WARRANTY FOR GOODS

- 35. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein and further allege:
- 36. Defendant Pfizer breached its express warranty of goods. Defendant Pfizer was a merchant and/or seller of the CELEBREX drug. Defendant Pfizer sold this drug to consumers for the ordinary purpose for which such drugs are used by consumers. Defendant Pfizer owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and the public in general, to disclose its knowledge of the serious risks of ingesting the CELEBREX drug as marketed. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of warranties, Plaintiff was injured and suffered special, general and compensatory damages as set forth in paragraph 20 above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00.

COUNT VI: IMPLIED WARRANTY

A. WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY.

- 37. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein and further allege:
- 38. Defendant Pfizer breached its implied warranty of merchantability. Defendant Pfizer was a merchant and/or seller of the Celebrex drug. Defendant Pfizer sold this drug to Plaintiff, and other

consumers, for the ordinary purpose for which such drug is used by consumers. Celebrex was defective, or unmerchantable, i.e., not fit for the ordinary purposes for which such drugs are used.

39. As a direct and proximate result of the defect or defects of the drug, Plaintiff suffered significant and ongoing injury and damages as set forth in paragraph 20 above.

B. WARRANTY OF FITNESS.

- 40. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as fully set forth herein, and further allege:
- 41. Defendant Pfizer breached its implied warranty of fitness. Defendant Pfizer sold Celebrex drug, and, at the time of the sale of this drug, Defendant Pfizer knew or had reason to know of a particular purpose for which the drug was to be used. At the time of the sale of the drug to Plaintiff, Defendant Pfizer knew, or had reason to know, that Plaintiff was relying on the skill and judgment of Defendant Pfizer to select or furnish a suitable product for the intended purpose. At the time of sale of the drug to Plaintiff, Defendant Pfizer exercised its skill and judgment in the selection of this drug as safe and effective, and Plaintiff relied thereon. Celebrex was not reasonably fit and/or suitable for the use for which it was selected.
- 42. Failure of Defendant Pfizer to select and sell a product which, was reasonably safe for its intended use, proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries as set forth in paragraph 20 above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00.

COUNT VII: UNJUST ENRICHMENT

43. Plaintiff restates each and every preceding allegation of this Complaint and incorporates each by reference as though set forth in full herein.

- 44. Defendant Pfizer accepted payment from Plaintiff for the purchase of Celebrex.
- 45. Plaintiff did not receive a safe and effective drug for which Plaintiff paid.
- 46. It would not be equitable for Defendant Pfizer to retain this money because Plaintiff did not, in fact, receive a safe and efficacious drug.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Theodore Carter, prays for judgment against Defendant, Pfizer Inc., for actual, general, and compensatory damages in the amount of Plaintiff's prescription drug. Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICES OF PAUL A. WEYKAMP

BY				

Paul A. Weykamp Suite 2 16 Stenersen Lanes Hunt Valley, MD 21030 Telephone: (410) 584-0660

Email: pweykamp@Weykamplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PRAYER FOR TRIAL BY JURY

The Plaintiff prays for a trial by jury on all issues presented herein.

Paul A. Weykamp	

Theodore Carter	*	IN THE UNITED STATES
255 Bishop Avenue Baltimore, MD. 21225	*	DISTRICT COURT
	*	FOR MARYLAND AT
Plaintiff	*	BALTIMORE
V.	*	2.121.1.01.2
Pfizer Inc.	*	
235 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017	*	
Serve: The Corporation Trust Inc.	*	
300 E. Lombard St.	*	
Baltimore, MD 21202	*	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
	*	
Defendant	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		***********
Mr,/Madam Clerk:		
Please prepare writ of summons for private	te proces	ss service on the following:
The Corporation Trust Inc. 300 East Lombard St. Baltimore, Maryland 21202		
	Resp	ectfully Submitted
	- T	A 337 1
	Paul	A. Weykamp

25.JS 44 (Rev. 11-04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS			
Theodore Carter		Pfizer Inc.	1		
(b) County of Residence (E	of First Listed Plaintiff Baltimore XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES D CONDEMNATION CASES, UNVOLVED,		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	. Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)		1 m 2 m	
Paul A. Weykamp, 16 Sto 410-584-0660	enersen Lane, Hunt Valley, MD 21030		אטט 0 /	GV3133	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	7 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF 1 I Incorporated or F of Business In TI	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place 234 34	
7 2 U.S. Government Defendant	80 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	(Principal Place 5 5 80 s	
N. NATURE OF CHIE		Foreign Country	1 3 Rareign Nation	F 076 36	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment □ £ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ Student Loans □ £ Exct. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment □ of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 3 310 Airplane 3 315 Airplane Product Liability 3 320 Assault Libel & Slander 3 330 Federal Employers Liability 3 340 Marine 3 340 Marine 3 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 3 350 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 3 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing Accommodations 3 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w Disabilities Other 446 Amer. w Disabilities Other 3 440 Other Civil Rights	1 de la Agriculture 2 de la Control Agriculture 3 de la Control Agriculture 4 de la Control Agriculture 5 de la Control Agriculture 6 de la Control Agriculture 7 de la Co	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities Commodities □ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination ∪ Inder Equal Access □ to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes	
Ø1 Original □ 2 R	state Court Appellate Court	Reinstated or anoth Reopened (spec		n Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Givil Statute under which you a Diversity Jurisdiction Brief description of cause: Pharmaceutical Products Liability C		al statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D: Tyes Tyo	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGF		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE #1/13/2007	SIGNATURE OF AT	TOPEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # 3	AMOUNT APPLIANCE HED				

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

THEUDDICIAL PANEL MULTIDIS FRICT LITIGATION

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

DEC 14 2007

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

NITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

JAN 1 U 2008

IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION BY CLERK'S OFFICE AT BALTIMORE MDIL No. 1699

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-91)

On September 6, 2005, the Panel transferred 30 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 391 F.Supp.2d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2005). Since that time, 1,164 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Breyer.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of September 6, 2005, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Brever.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

JAN - 3 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

Clerk of the Panel

ir transcut is a true and correct copy o" he original on file in my office. A.TEST:

RICHARD W. WIEKING Clerk, U.S. District Court orthern Districtler California

IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1699

SCHEDULE CTO-91 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION
FLM 8 07-2011	Vincent Rosenquist, et al. v. Pfizer Inc. U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
MARYLAND	DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
MD 1 07-3130	Alex Bondarenko v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3131	Melvin Brown v. Pfizer Inc. JAN 1 U 2008
MD 1 07-3133	Theodore Carter v. Pfizer Inc. CLERK'S OFFICE
MD 1 07-3134	Hobert Church, Jr. v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3135	Gary Cox v. Pfizer Inc. BYDEPUTY
MD 1 07-3136	Raymond Deigert v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3137	Dana Johnson v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3138	Ruth Logan v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3139	Nora Manning v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3140	Esther Nimarko v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3141	Carolyn Owens v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3142	George Sherain, II v. Pfizer Inc.
MD 1 07-3143	Inetta Wood v. Pfizer Inc.
MINNESOTA	
MN 0 07-4634	Vivian Cobb v. Pfizer Inc., et al.
MN 0 07-4661	Gene Summers v. Pfizer Inc., et al.
MN 0 07-4662	Ed Narke v. Pfizer Inc., et al.
MN 0 07-4670	Charlotte Allen, et al., Pfizer Inc., et al.
MN 0 07-4671	Joann Burkeen, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN	
MSN 4 07-124	Claiborne Leon Collier Sr. et al y Pfiggs Inc. et al
171011 7 07-127	Claiborne Leon Collier, Sr., et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.

1699, CLOSED, XMDL

U.S. District Court District of Maryland (Baltimore) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:07-cv-03133-RDB

Carter v. Pfizer Inc.

Assigned to: Judge Richard D Bennett

Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability

Date Filed: 11/20/2007

Date Terminated: 12/17/2007

Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 365 Personal Inj. Prod.

Liability

Jurisdiction: Diversity

Plaintiff

Mr. Theodore Carter

represented by Paul A Weykamp

Law Offices of Paul A Weykamp PA

16 Stenersen Ln Ste 2 Hunt Valley, MD 21030

14105840660 Fax: 14105841005

Email: pweykamp@weykamplaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Pfizer Inc.

Date Filed	#	Docket Text	
11/20/2007		Case reassigned to Judge Richard D Bennett. Judge Catherine C. Blake no longer assigned to the case. (ljs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 11/21/2007)	
11/20/2007	1	COMPLAINT against Pfizer Inc. (Filing fee \$ 350. Receipt # 1463702131), filed by Theodore Carter. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cove Sheet)(ljs, Deputy Clerk) Modified on 11/26/2007 (ljs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 11/21/2007)	
11/20/2007		Jury Trial Demand by Theodore Carter. (ljs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 11/21/2007)	
11/28/2007	<u>2</u>	Summons Issued 60 days as to Pfizer Inc (ljs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 11/28/2007)	
12/17/2007	3	ORDER ADMINISTRATIVELY Closing Case. Signed by Judge	

		Richard D Bennett on 12/17/07. (hml, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 12/17/2007)
01/10/2008	<u>4</u>	Certified Transfer Order transferring case to the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. (Signed by Clerk, MDL Panel) (hml, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 01/10/2008)
01/10/2008	<u>5</u>	Correspondence from Clerk to the Northern District of California, San Francisco re: Transfer. (hml, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 01/10/2008)

PACER Service Center				
Transaction Receipt				
02/01/2008 18:48:33				
PACER Login: Client Code:				
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:07-cv-03133-RDB	
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.08	